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Overview of fresh water intakes

Lobith (German border): 100 mg/l; North Sea 20 g/l
drinking water: < 250 mg/l; agriculture < 700 mg/l
Extreme salinisation in November 2005

1 g/l \approx 1.8 \text{ ppt}
Ship traffic in Rotterdam Harbour (1)

www.marinetraffic.com
OSR = Operational model for water levels, currents and salinity intrusion in the Rotterdam harbour
Salinisation in Rhine-Meuse delta

- Many issues: deepening New Waterway, Haringvliet sluices partly opened, Deltaprogramme (sea level rise), …

- More detailed questions need more accurate model predictions
- Better substantiation of model choices
- What can models predict and what not?

- Cooperation between Dutch Government en Port of Rotterdam Authority on ‘numerical model development for salinisation’, in consultation with Deltares
Problem description of salinisation
Vertical systems

- surface and bottom following $\sigma$-layers
- fixed horizontal $z$-layers

Figure 1.3. Vertical grid concepts: the $\sigma$-model (left) and $z$-coordinate model (right)
Salinity intrusion for December 2011 storm
Problem description w.r.t. salinity intrusion

Salinity intrusion differs with respect to:
- model schematizations;
- horizontal grid resolution;
- vertical grid layering concept; and
- software codes

- In general for sigma models reasonable to good agreement with measurements under normal conditions. However, large differences for December 2011 storm situation. What is the reason for this?
Model schematizations
3D models for Rhine Meuse delta

Rekenrooster Zeedelta model

Zeedelta model
Detailed view of model grid for New Waterway

Zeedelta model
Detailed view of model grid for Rotterdam harbour
OSR (operational) model

2D outer domain (in black) and 3D inner domain (in red), via nesting

OSR model
20 grid cells in cross direction in New Waterway
Model performance w.r.t. salinity intrusion
Illustration of salinity intrusion
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Validation Zeedelta model for low river discharge (1)
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Validation Zeedeltamodel for low river discharge (2)
Twee splitsingspunten: 1) Hartelkanaal - Oude Maas
2) Nieuwe Waterweg - Oude Maas - Nieuwe Maas

M.Sc. thesis of Merel Verbeek
Validation by Port of Rotterdam Authority (2)

Mean error: 0.1 - 2 ppt
Correlation $r = 0.5$ and 0.9
Overestimation of salinity intrusion
Overestimation of stratification
Additional simulations
Sigma model versus Z-model

Sigma model

Z-model
Sigma model (after two days)
Z-model (after two days)
Schematized model
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Software systems
Dutch hydrodynamic modules for 2D/3D

- **Delft3D 4** modelling suite *(structured grid modelling)*, with hydrodynamic module Delft3D-FLOW

- **Simona** modelling suite *(structured grid modelling)*, with hydrodynamic module WAQUA/TRIWAQ

- **Delft3D Flexible Mesh suite**: combination of unstructured and structured grid modelling with hydrodynamic module D-Flow Flexible Mesh
  
  https://www.deltares.nl/en/software/delft3d-4-suite/
Elbe estuary (Cuxhaven) with Delft3D FM

From Aissa Sehili (BAW, Germany)
Conclusions and recommendations
Conclusions w.r.t. prediction of salinisation (1)

- Satisfying model results for salinity intrusion, except for ‘storm December 2011’
- Validated software (both for sigma and Z-model)
- World wide accurate results w.r.t. salinity and temperature stratification in hundreds of applications since 1995

- Difficult to compare sigma and Z-models because of different vertical resolution; only one comparison yet for real-life application
- Salinity is an ‘integrated’ parameter (differences once introduced will remain and will increase)
- Both high and low salinity concentrations are important
- No grid convergence in vertical resolution; k-ε turbulence model optimized for 10-20 layers
Conclusions and recommendations (2)

- Difference not due to software but to model parameters such as model forcing and grid resolution
- No preference for sigma or Z-model yet
- Model forcing seems to be the main cause of the mismatch in salinisation for December 2011 storm

- (Recom. 1) Measurements at more locations at the same time (in combination with ferry measurements?)
- (Recom. 2) Sensitivity analysis with Delft3D Flexible Mesh (because of sigma and Z-model and combination of sigma and Z)
- Continued cooperation between Dutch government, Port of Rotterdam authority and Deltares