

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

An adaptive Ensemble Optimal Interpolation for cost-effective assimilation in the Red Sea Habib Toye¹, Peng Zhan¹, Furrukh Sana^{1,2}, and Ibrahim Hoteit¹

¹King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia, ²Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts General Hospital, USA









Seasonal Variability on the Dynamics













data













model





data



model





data

analysis

model











14



estimate







16



estimate

data 🌒















estimate analysis • $x^{a} = x^{b} + K(y^{o} - h(x^{b}))$ data •











22

































30













33

Ensemble data assimilation



Ensemble data assimilation
























































































52

















 $X' = X - \bar{x}^f$ $P^{f} = \frac{1}{N-1} \left(X' X'^{T} \right)$







forecast error covariance

$$\bigvee_{P^f} = \frac{1}{N-1} \left(X' X'^T \right)$$

 $K = P^{f}H^{T}(HP^{f}H^{T} + R)$ observational error covariance





DART-MITgcm













Time





2

3





















EnOl





In the EnKF configuration, all the members are advanced by the model.

EnOl





- With the EnOI, only the analysis is forecasted
- Reduce the cost of the EnKF forecast step by a factor N
- The forecast ensemble needed in the analysis step is built by adding preselected static anomalies to the forecast









LONG TERM SIMULATION




Monthly dictionary

LONG TERM SIMULATION































Adaptive EnOI





Dynamic update of the anomalies for each assimilation cycle, while keeping only 1 forecast member at the forecast step





1. Inputs:

- A dictionary $\mathbf{D} = [\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, ..., \mathbf{d}_L]$ of model outputs
- The desired ensemble size N (with $L \gg N$ and at least $L \ge N$)
- The forecast **x**^f



1. Inputs:

- A dictionary $\mathbf{D} = [\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, ..., \mathbf{d}_L]$ of model outputs
- The desired ensemble size N (with $L \gg N$ and at least $L \ge N$)
- The forecast **x**^f

2. Iterate through the dictionary to apply the related selection algorithm.



1. Inputs:

- A dictionary $\mathbf{D} = [\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, ..., \mathbf{d}_L]$ of model outputs
- The desired ensemble size N (with $L \gg N$ and at least $L \ge N$)
- The forecast **x**^f

2. Iterate through the dictionary to apply the related selection algorithm.

3. List and/or sort the obtained elements based on the selection ordering criteria:

 $\bm{d}_{j1,}\; \bm{d}_{j2},\; \dots,\; \bm{d}_{jN},\; \dots,\; \bm{d}_{jL}$



1. Inputs:

- A dictionary $\mathbf{D} = [\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, ..., \mathbf{d}_L]$ of model outputs
- The desired ensemble size N (with $L \gg N$ and at least $L \ge N$)
- The forecast **x**^f

2. Iterate through the dictionary to apply the related selection algorithm.

3. List and/or sort the obtained elements based on the selection ordering criteria:

 $\mathbf{d}_{j1,} \; \mathbf{d}_{j2}, \; \dots, \; \mathbf{d}_{jN}, \; \dots, \; \mathbf{d}_{jL}$

4. Keep the *N* first members: $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{d}_{j1}, \mathbf{d}_{j2}, ..., \mathbf{d}_{jN}]$



1. Inputs:

- A dictionary $\mathbf{D} = [\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, ..., \mathbf{d}_L]$ of model outputs
- The desired ensemble size N (with $L \gg N$ and at least $L \ge N$)
- The forecast **x**^f

2. Iterate through the dictionary to apply the related selection algorithm.

3. List and/or sort the obtained elements based on the selection ordering criteria: $d_{j1,} d_{j2}, ..., d_{jN}, ..., d_{jL}$

4. Keep the *N* first members: $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{d}_{j1}, \mathbf{d}_{j2}, ..., \mathbf{d}_{jN}]$





• Through correlations:

Keep the most correlated members with the forecast



• Through correlations:

Keep the most correlated members with the forecast

• Through I1 and I2 norms:

Keep the dictionary members that are closest to the forecast



• Through correlations:

Keep the most correlated members with the forecast

• Through I1 and I2 norms:

Keep the dictionary members that are closest to the forecast

• Through orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP):

Perform a decomposition of the forecast based on the OMP algorithm and keep the members that represent the forecast





Schemes comparison







Months of OMP selected members





April 2006

August 2006



Months of OMP selected members





Months of I2-norm selected members

























Conclusion



- The different OI schemes results are comparable
- The adaptive schemes require more computation
- The Seasonal EnOI seems to be the best choice

Acknowledgment



This research work was supported by King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Saudi Arabia and the Saudi ARAMCO-KAUST Marine Environmental Research Center (SAMERCK). The research made use of the resources of the Super computing Laboratory and computer clusters at KAUST.



King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

Thank you!