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## Introduction - follow up comparison

Looking beyond general metrics for model comparison lessons from an international model intercomparison study
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## Hypotheses

1. Process-based models with similar runoff performance show similar dynamics of internal states and fluxes
2. Identify and explain model strengths and weaknesses through a comparison of modeled states and fluxes with multiple remotely-sensed products





## Water balance <br> (Lesse)

Mean annual runoff (2001-2016)
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## Total storage anomalies - GRACE



## Total storage - spatial variability
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## Snow - number of days

- 2001 - 2003 - 2005 - 2007 - 2009 - 2011 • 2013 - 2015 - 2017
- 2002 - $2004 \cdot 2006 \cdot 2008 \cdot 2010: 2012: 2014: 2016$

GR4H-CemaNeige

$\left(R^{2}=0.30\right)$




## Summary

- Differences
- Water balance
- Drying out of soil moisture
- Total storage anomalies
- Number of days with snow
- Model structure? Parametrization?
- Become aware of aspects that could be improved


## Conclusion

1. Process-based models with similar runoff performance show rather similar dynamics of internal states and fluxes, but also important differences
2. Identify and explain model strengths and weaknesses through a comparison of modeled states and fluxes with multiple remotely-sensed products
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