



JONSMOD 2010, Delft.

Dumas F, Lazure P.

Hydrological structure over the shelf



Tidal structures : elevations and currents due to M2



• M2 amplitude 130/160 cm

• M2 currents order of magnitude 20 cm/s

•Homogeneity of these features in the along shore direction

From Le Cann, CSR, 1990

Larg river discharges and wide river plumes



Typical drifter behavior



Fig. 3. Trajectories of the three drifters drogued at 65 m in 1999.

- Satellite tracked buoys (ARGOS)GPS localised
- Drogued at 50 m (below Ekman layer : no straight wind influence).
- Repeated observations over years according the same protocol :
 1.Three drifters dropped along the
- same cross-shelf section (190m,
- 150m, 90m)
- 2.in the first fortnight of
- September

3.Drogued below the Ekman layer

•Slow movement of the offshore drifter

On shore movement of 3 cm/s
Around 100m isobath : 20 cm/s



Current Climatology from drifter data



Climatology of residual current computed from the drifters track :

- 1998/2003
- 15 September/early November
- 0.5°x 0.5° boxes
- Detided currents (Demerliac filter, low pass filter)
 - 730 drifter.day

٠

A climatological poleward currents of about 10 cm/s-1
located on the 100 m

Hydrological structure over the shelf



The geostrophic balance may be written

$$-f.v_g = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}$$
$$f.u_g = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$$

And combining these relations with hydrostatic balance

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = b$$

gives

$$-f\frac{\partial v_g}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}$$
$$f\frac{\partial u_g}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}$$

Reference null, velocity at the bottom, Hill, JMR 1996.



2DV approximation model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - fv - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + fu - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nu \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nu \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = Q$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nu \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$Qread \qquad Open Boundary conditions$$

$$Qread \qquad Open Boundary condition$$

- 2DV :
 - Horizontal resolution 1km
 - Vertical resolution 30 vertical sima layers
 - Realistic Bathymetry
 - Realistic initial hydrological conditions (extracted from climatology) and tidal forcing (sea surface harmonic composition from CstFrance Simon et al 2006)
 - Schematic Wind (stationnary and homogeneous over the period) and Thermal fluxes (idem + only surface fluxes i.e. no radiative part)

Main features of the model (Lazure et Dumas, ADW 2008)

- Primitive equation model
- Horizontal and vertical Arakawa C grid
- Generalised sigma coordinate (Song and Haidvogel JCP 1994)
- Mode splitting
- Evolved ADI temporal scheme to treat the barotropic mode
- Quick advection scheme (momentum)
- Ultimate quickest Macho tranport scheme
- Bancs découvrants
- TKE turbulent closure scheme (with the double turbulent length scale of Bougeault and Lacarrère, MWR 1989)
- Non linear seawater equation of state (MELLOR, 1985).

Main features of the 3D Configuration

- Horizontal resolution 4 km
- 50 vertical sigma layers (streched to keep resolution in thermocline)
- Realistic met forcings from french Met office Analysis
- Realistic river discharges
- Global model (ORCA-B83, 12 km resolution) solution for initial and open boundary conditions
- Solution over 1998-2007 is analysed
- Intensive validation : comparison to SST images, climatologies, hydrology. Cf Lazure et al, CSR 2009.

Equations 3D résolues

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Du}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Dv}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial Dw^*}{\partial \sigma} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + L(u) - fv = -g \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial Pa}{\partial x} + \pi_x + \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial (\frac{nz}{D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \sigma})}{\partial \sigma} + F_x$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + L(v) + fu = -g\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial Pa}{\partial y} + \pi_y + \frac{1}{D}\frac{\partial(\frac{nz}{D}\frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma})}{\partial\sigma} + F_y$$

$$\pi_{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[D \int_{\sigma}^{1} b \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \right] + b \left(\sigma \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \right) \qquad L(A) = u \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} + w^{*} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \sigma}$$
$$\pi_{y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[D \int_{\sigma}^{1} b \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \right] + b \left(\sigma \frac{\partial D}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \right)$$

Mode barotrope

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-fuz_k - L(vz_k) + \pi_{yk} + F_{yk}) \Delta \sigma_k$$

$$+ \frac{\tau_{sy}}{\rho_0 D_v} - \frac{\tau_{by}}{\rho_0 D_v} - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial P_a}{\partial y}$$



$$W_{mean} = 8.5 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$$

LATITUDE

46.5⁰№

$$Q_{curr} = \sum_{i} Q_{rad}(t_i) + Q_{IR}(t_i) + Q_{sens}(t_i) + Q_{lat}(t_i)$$

$$Q_{tot} = \frac{Q_{curr}}{n}$$
•No systematic cooling over that period
•2003 : Q_{tot} = -70W
•Other years -20W < Q_{tot} < 20 W
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No systematic difference between offshore and coastal thermal flux
•No sys

4.0°W

SHADE: MASK3

3.0°W

2.0°₩

LONGITUDE

1.0°₩

Interannual variability : Mean Thermal fluxes over October 2006 and 2007





Results



Experience n°1 : tidal mixing



•Numerical experience conditions :

- thermal flux set to 0
- No Wind
- Realistic tidal forcing

• Results :

- tidally filtered currents peaks at 4 cm/s
- no marked bottom front

•The tidal mixing is not efficient enough to create a bottom front

Experience n°2 : tidal mixing+negative buoyancy flux



•Numerical experience conditions :

- thermal flux set to -100 W
- No Wind
- Realistic tidal forcing

• Results :

- tidally filtered currents peaks at 4 cm/s
- no marked bottom front

•The tidal mixing together with the negative buoyancy is neither not efficient

enough to create a bottom

front

Experience n°3 : tidal and wind mixing



•Numerical experience conditions :

- thermal flux set to -20 W
- 15 m/s Wind no incidence
- Realistic tidal forcing

• Results :

- tidally filtered currents peaks at 6 cm/s
- bottom front slightly deeper

•The tidal mixing, the

negative buoyancy associated with strong wind mixing can not afford a sharp bottom

front

Experience n°4 : tidal mixing, Ekman transport



•Numerical experience conditions :

- \bullet thermal flux set to 0 W
- 5 m/s 40° incidence (downwelling favorable)
- Realistic tidal forcing

• Results :

- tidally filtered currents peaks at 35 cm/s
- marked thermal bottom front
- •Agree with climatology and

Experience n°4 : tidal mixing, Ekman transport, internal pressure grad



•Numerical experience conditions :

- thermal flux set to 0 W
- 5 m/s 40° incidence (downwelling favorable)
- Realistic tidal forcing
- unplugg internal pressure gradient
- Results :
 - still marked thermal bottom front
 - •But this time tidally filtered current peaks at 4 cm/s

Climatological wind during summer (left) and autumn (right)





Phenomelogical description



Summer situation

Fall evolution



Hydrological and dynamical cross section in the 3D solution

Autumn 2006 contrasted with 2007







Mean Current over October from 75m-65m 1999/2001

11.

Mean Current over October from 75m-65m 2002/2005



Mean Current over October from 75m-65m 2006/2007



Interranual variability of september-October wind(1998-2007)



Progressive vector diagram of wind stress : $\rho_a C d_s \| \vec{W} \| (W_x, W_y)$

Interannual variability of surface tongues



Mean October eulerian surface currents (0-30 m)



DEPTH (m) : -0.5 to 35 TIME : 01-0CT-2007 23:00 to 31-0CT-2007 23:00 DATA SET: champs V8.11 MANGA 48.5°N 0.7 0.25 47.5°N 0.2 LATITUDE 46.5°N 0.15 0.1 45.5°N 0.05 44.5°N 0.0° 6.0°W 4.0°W 2.0°W uz_сим, vz_сим — > 0.117 LONGITUDE (UZ_CUM*UZ_CUM+VZ_CUM*VZ_CUM)~0.5

October 2006

October 2007

Conclusions

- Autumnal currents may peaks at 35 cm/s
- Some tenth of kilometers wide (~40 km)
- Centered around 100m isobath
- Highly variable from year to year :
 - 2003, 2007 : no autumnal circulation
 - 1999, 2004, 2006 : strongest circulation (35 cm/s)
- Downwelling favorable wind seems to be the key processes
- All the processes that tend to lighten surface water (positive buoyancy fluxes, fresh water trapped at the coast, low vertical mixing) reinforce the autumnal circulation
- Investigate in further details the influence of the wind : weight of strong short events or cumulated effects, analyse 2D wind structure with respect to the basin geometry...

The End