Draft
Prepared for:
Environment Agency
Livitoiiiient Agency
NGMS Release Notes
Pilot-release 105330_NGMS_0.5

Report

October, 2006

Prepared for: **Environment Agency NGMS Release Notes** Pilot-release 105330_NGMS_0.5 Peter Gijsbers

Report

October, 2006

Contents

1	Intro	duction	1—1
2	Notes	s on the associated software	2—1
	2.1	Known issues on the Operator Client	2—1
	2.2	Known issues on the Forecasting Shell Server	2—2
3	Notes	s on the configuration	3—1
	3.1	The Test and Itchen configuration.	3—1
	3.2	The West Midlands Worfe configuration	3—2
	3.3	Messages during task execution	3—3
4	Feedl	back to guide future developments	4—1
	4.1	Data navigation	4—1
	4.2	How to present multi-layered time series in a graph?	4—2

I Introduction

This document contains the release notes for NGMS release 105330_NGMS_0.5 which has been shipped on DVD to CIS on October 24, 2006

The NGMS is a development project in which the DelftFEWS system infrastructure is utilized to implement a centrally hosted modelling environment for the groundwater and recharge models of the Environment Agency of England and Wales.

System installation instructions are provided in the System Installation Guide version 105330_NGMS_0.5.

These Release Notes describe the functionality that has been included in the current release, as well as known features and bugs. In addition, it addresses some issues where feedback is required from the EA-hydrogeologists and area staff to guide future configuration works.

WL | Delft Hydraulics | ___ |

2 Notes on the associated software

The pilot-versions of the NGMS are shipped with a development build. Release 105330_NGMS_0.5 is shipped with build number 3, dated Fri Oct 13 12:51:26 CEST 2006.

2.1 Known issues on the Operator Client

The follow bugs and features are known and on the list to be analysed and fixed where required.

Module	Issue/known feature/bug	Work around
Spatial Display	Data set is loaded (i.e. slide bar shows dates) and animated. However, nothing happens on the map.	Unselect one of the GIS- layers (and add again if appropriate)
SpatialDisplay	At startup, the folder structure does not stay on left side	Scroll to the left.
What-if Scenario Editor	Exception on saving scenario after a data operation has been defined for one of the locations. Exception indicates that string length is too long.	None, i.e. scenario specification does not function properly yet.

WL | Delft Hydraulics 2-1

2.2 Known issues on the Forecasting Shell Server

The follow bugs and features are known and on the list to be analysed and fixed where required.

Item	Issue/known feature/bug	Work around
Task scheduling	Manual tasks are pending for a relative long time after submission.	Wait a few minutes
Workflow execution	Workflow processing continues if a Module Instance fails. This may cause empty data records	None, i.e. to be analysed and resolved
General Adapter runs	The General Adapter does not yet handle redirecting arguments on the shell command line. The Modflow-executable and the Module Adapter are therefore kicked-off via a batch-file. Sequential execution of these executables has been observed while that should not be the case.	Don't start to many manual jobs after each other.
StreamAccretion Generation	Error in mapping time series data from grid to branches/longitudinal profile.	Excluded of workflow, i.e. no stream accretion profiles are generated yet.

2 — 2 WL | Delft Hydraulics

3 Notes on the configuration

Release 105330_NGMS_0.5 has been configured for the Test and Itchen model and the West Midlands Worfe model.

The release has extensively been tested on a stand-alone machine. limited testing has been applied on the Client-Server environment as available at Delft Hydraulics.

3.1 The Test and Itchen configuration

The Test and Itchen configuration is unchanged compared to the PR03 workshop release. That means that none of the displays has been modified.

Known issues to be improved in the Test and Itchen configuration

Item	Issue/problem
Locations	Location identifiers will be upgraded to a similar style as West Midlands Worfe.
Data availability	IdMapping between external Module Adapter and internal administration is not correct.
	As a consequence not all point locations will have data associated to it (GW abstractions, SW abstractions, SW discharge, GW assessment points, SW assessment points)
Spatial Display	Reported issues of PR03 workshop on colour schemes, classification breaks, folder structures and flow directions have not been addressed.
Graph Display	Reported issues of PR03 workshop have not been addressed.
	Comparisons between various runs will only be accessible via the Graph Display shortcuts (i.e. not via the Explorer navigation panel)
StreamAccretion	Error in data processing. May be due to incorrect data mapping

WL | Delft Hydraulics 3 — I

3.2 The West Midlands Worfe configuration

The West Midlands Worfe configuration has been upgraded to nearly the same level as the Test and Itchen configuration. Known issues to be improved in the West Midlands Worfe configuration are.

Item	Issue
Maps	GIS-layers with abstraction and monitoring points have not yet been incorporated.
Locations	Location information of GW assessment points and SW assessment points has not yet been updated.
	Location information of GW abstractions is up to date, but ToolTip information may be reorganized in future
Data availability	No IdMapping defined yet for discharge locations between external Module Adapter and internal NGMS administration. Consequently, no discharge information has been made available yet.
Locations	Parent locations have been applied for boreholes penetrating several layers. No data has been associated to parent locations yet. All data has been assigned to individual layers.
Spatial Display	As a consequence, GW abstractions cannot be shown properly in a splodge plot yet, as no layer distinction is applied yet. Feedback required (see next section).
Observed data	Data import has not been configured yet
Graph Display	No shortcuts have been configured except for overall model data.
What-If scenarios	No displays have been configured for what-if scenarios as the specification of a scenario is not fault-proof yet.
Spatial Display	Reported issues of PR03 workshop on colour schemes, classification breaks, folder structures have not been addressed. Note that flow directions and associated numerical signs (loss/gain) should be correct.
Spatial Display	Recharge: Unit conversion is done at display level instead of data generation level. Hence the value resolution is in entire mm (no decimals) for data compression reasons.
Graph Display	Reported issues of PR03 workshop have not been addressed.
	Comparisons between various runs will only be accessible via the Graph Display shortcuts (i.e. not via the Explorer navigation panel)
StreamAccretion	Excluded from configuration due to error in data processing. May be due to incorrect data mapping

3 — 2 WL | Delft Hydraulics

3.3 Messages during task execution

During tasks execution a number of messages are reported. The following messages (warnings) seem dramatic but are typically not harmful.

Message in the log-file	Cause
WARN - GA.Execution.Model.Warn Warn in adapter model:	Modflow Module Adapter does not yet support Rule-parameters as applied in
Unrecognised flow component (RULES) - It is recommend that this flow component be added to the parameter ID file.	MFSSQ02-code
WARN - All values are unreliable in timeseries	Data processes uses combination of data types with different validation levels. Consequently, the system flags this difference with a relative dramatic warning.

The following messages (warnings) tend to be more harmful and may be a cause of missing data.

Message in the log-file	Consequence	Cause
Trying to store an empty array	Next data processing steps within the workflow may fail	a) Database may already contain the associated data, or
		b) The Module started processing on an empty data set.
Trying to read time series data for a not yet used key combination	Additional data processing may not work properly, resulting in the message WARN - No data available for timeseries	a) No data had been stored in the database due to a production failure earlier in the workflow b) The data identification in the configuration may not be correct, e.g. incorrect combination of ParameterId, LocationId and ModuleInstanceId

WL | Delft Hydraulics 3 — 3

Message in the log-file	Consequence	Cause
WARN - No data available for timeseries	Data processing does not work properly. Will most certainly result in message WARN - Trying to store an empty array	a) No data had been stored in the database due to a production failure earlier in the workflow b) The data identification in the configuration may not be correct, e.g. incorrect combination of ParameterId, LocationId and ModuleInstanceId
ERROR - LocalDataStore.Error Configuration inconsistence More than one time series found for a single time series set / location combination The specified module instance set in the time series set is not specific enough or multiple module	System does not know which data to pick for processing/display.	Data identification is not sufficiently specific in the configuration.
instances in the specified module instance set has written the same location for the same time series set. In the last case you have to delete the datastore also.		

To resolve these causes the following information should preferably be gathered:

- log-file from the Forecasting Shell Server¹.
- if this file is not available, the log-entries may be saved from the Operator Client (System Monitor, tab 'Log Browser' select logs)
- Alternative, the localDataStore-directory of the FSS² or the OC³ may be provided.

At machine-root/<drive>/NGMS/<FSS-instance>/FewsShell/England/log.txt

3 — 4 WL | Delft Hydraulics

At machine-root/<drive>/NGMS/<FSS-instance>/FewsShell/England/localDataStore

³ At machine-root/<drive>/NGMS_oc/England/localDataStore

4 Feedback to guide future developments

4.1 Data navigation

Intuitive navigation to data for both modellers and area staff is important for the NGMS. A major facility for this navigation is an intuitive 'explorer-type' folder structure. Preferably, this folder structure is similar for the Spatial Display as well as for the shortcuts in the Graph Display and the Stream Accretion Profile display (to be configured).

Input from EA-modellers and staff is required to tailor the structure to their needs.

The current structure is as follows:

region	
parameter group	
reference	
parameter (unit)	
what-if scenario	
parameter (unit)	
☐ differences	
 reference against reference 	
 what-if against reference 	
responses (simulated)	
parameter group	
references	
■ layer	
what-if scenario	
modified Historic scenario	
■ layer	
modified Naturalized scenario	
■ layer	
☐ differences	
reference against reference	
■ layer	
what-if against reference	
■ layer	
aquifer properties	
parameter parameter	
■ layer	

As this structure may not be sufficiently intuitive, alternative structures could be more appropriate.

WL | Delft Hydraulics 4 — |

For example, the following structure has reorganized the position of the What-ifs and the Differences and places them in closer relation to a reference.

region
parameter parameter
reference
parameter (unit)
 difference against other reference
what-if scenario
parameter (unit)
 difference against reference
responses (simulated)
parameter parameter
Historic based scenarios
Historic Reference
■ layer
Difference Historic against Naturalized reference
■ layer
Modified historic scenario
■ layer
Difference modified against original reference
■ layer
aquifer properties
parameter parameter
■ layer

Feedback on this suggestion, or other suggestions are welcome.

4.2 How to present multi-layered time series in a graph?

In many models, data is computed in multiple-layers. Typically this data can be presented for each layer, or a merge is undertaken such that the accumulative situation is represented. the latter has been applied for the ground water table and for the accumulated flow field.

Currently, groundwater abstractions are assigned to wells in the specific layers. Boreholes that penetrate multiple layers are shown on the map as one point, although several time series are related to its underlying layers. Abstraction hydrographs tend to be confusing as the total abstraction time series is typically equally divided over the layers, hence showing overlap on a graph. Since the abstraction is the accumulative time series of all layers, the presentation issue to be answered the following:

- a) should multi-layer abstractions (e.g. Bratch (West Midlands Worfe model) be presented for each layer (thus resulting in overlapping graphs)
- b) should multi-layer abstractions be presented as the accumulative time series over all layer
- c) should both a) and b) be presented in one graph?

4 — 2 WL | Delft Hydraulics