`MODELLING FOR GEOCHEMISTS' EVERYTHING YOU ALWAYS WANTED TO KNOW ABOUT MODELLING, BUT WERE AFRAID TO ASK!

Gualbert OUDE ESSINK

Faculty of Earth, Free University (VU) Netherlands Institute of Applied Geosciences (NITG) THE NETHERLANDS

INTRODUCTION

During previous SWIM's, we noted some of the modellers lacked the knowledge to fully appreciate the papers of the chemists and the other way round. To make the papers of the other 'root' of our work better understandable, the 17th SWIM included two parallel lectures. In this one, the practise of modelling will be explained to those usually concerned with chemistry. The other course explains the basics of hydrogeochemical studies to the modellers.

This is not a 'regular' paper. Instead of writing text, I preferred to hand in my presentation sheets as a paper. This paper should give a refreshing view on the subject. In my opinion, this time sheets are better than words. No bullshit and to the (power)point !! I hope anyone who reads this, will know the ins and outs of groundwater modelling.

Modelling for Chemists

Everything you always wanted to know about modelling, but were afraid to ask!

- Modelling protocol
- Discretisation Partial Differential Equation (PDE)
- Groundwater flow: MODFLOW
- Solute transport: MOC3D

Gualbert Oude Essink Faculty of Earth, Free University (VU) Netherlands Institute of Applied Geosciences (NITG)

SWIM17: 6-10 May 2002

Modelling for Chemists

- Difficult to determine target group for this lecture
- No density differences considered
- I like equations, so don't be shocked by the PDEs
- 45 min.: not enough to understand it all completely
- These sheets will be available

SWIM17: 6-10 May 2002

The Hydrological Circle
see shows and water vapour atmosphere
and approximation infine provide the provi
aparter and a second a s
vegeniti in the second se
prombetist flow low light for the second sec
sume to the second seco

Ten steps of the Modelling Protocol

- 1. Problem definition
- 2. Purpose definition
- 3. Conceptualisation
- 4. Selection computer code
- 5. Model design
- 6. Calibration
- 7. Verification
- 8. Simulation
- 9. Presentation
- 10. Postaudit

MODELLING PROTOCOL

IMPORTANT Modelling protocol IMPORTANT Why numerical modelling? 3. Conceptualisation (I) Model is only a schematisation of the reality +: Which hydro(geo)logical processes are relevant? ·cheaper than scale models •analysis of very complex systems is possible Which processes can be neglected? •a model can be used as a database

-:

- simplification of the reality .
- . only a tool, no purpose on itself
- garbage in=garbage out: (field)data important
- perfect fit measurement and simulation is suspicious

Boundary conditions

Variables and parameters:

- subsoil parameters fluxes in and out initial conditions
- geochemical data

Mathematical equations

Modelling protocol

1 1 1

Salt Water Intrusion

Physical

dels

3. Concept (II): example of salt water intrusion

Relevant processes:

- groundwater flow in a heterogeneous porous medium solute transport

- variable density flow natural recharge extraction of groundwater
- Negligible processes:



- heat flow swelling of clayey aquitard non-steady groundwater flow

Boundary conditions

no flow at bottom
flux in dune area

- constant head in polder area

4. Selection computer code (II) water.usgs.gov/nrp/gwsoftware.

Groundwater computer codes



4. Selection computer code

There are numerous good groundwater computer codes!

See internet, e.g.:

USGS: water.usgs.gov/nrp/gwsoftware/ Scientific Software Group: www.scisoftware.com/

Modelling protocol

5. Model design (I)

Choice grid Δx :

- depends on natural variation in the groundwater system
- concept model
- data collection
- Choice time step Δt

Conditions:

- initial conditions
- boundary conditions:
- 1. Dirichlet: head
- 2. Neumann: flux, e.g., no flow
- 3. Cauchy: mixed boundary condition

Modelling protocol

5. Model design (II): example

Geometry, subsoil parameters, boundary conditions



6. Calibration (II): example



Measured and computed freshwater heads

Modelling protocol IMPORTANT

6. Calibration (I)

- Fitting the groundwater model: is your model okay?
- trial and error automatic parameter estimation/inverse modelling (PEST, UCODE)



Modelling protocol IMPORTANT

- 6. Calibration: errors during modelling protocol
 - •Wrong model concept
 - Incomplete equations
 - ·Inaccurate parameters and variables
 - •Errors in computer code
 - Numerical inaccuracies

IMPORTANT Modelling protocol 7. Verification: testing the calibrated model first datase second datase Piezometric level, [m] ured valu ulated val 12 Time [years]

'verification problem': there is always a lack of data

10. Postaudit

Postaudit: analysing model results after a long time

Anderson & Woessner('92): four postaudits from the 1960's

Errors in model results are mainly caused by: wrong concept •wrong scenarios

8. Simulation

Simulation of scenarios

- Computation time depends on:
- computer speed
- size model
- efficiency compiler
- output format

9. Presentation

Modelling scheme Modelling protocol

Modelling protocol

Modelling protocol

Modelling protocol

Modelling protocol

DISCRETIZATION PDE









IMPORTANT

Steady state groundwater flow equation (PDE=Partial Differential Equation)

Flow equation (Darcy's Law)

Groundwater flow equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho \left(-k_x \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho \left(-k_y \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho \left(-k_z \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right)}{\partial z} = 0$$

If k=constant and ρ =constant then:

 $\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad \text{Laplace equation}$ $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$

$$\begin{split} q_z &= -k_z \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \quad q_y = -k_y \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \quad q_z = -k_z \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \rho q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho q_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho q_z}{\partial z} = 0 \end{split}$$

Discretisation PDE IMPORTANT

Taylor series development (I) Best estimate of $\phi_{i\neq I}$ is based on ϕ_i

$$\begin{split} \phi_{i+1} &= \phi_i + \Delta x \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{6} \Delta x^3 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{24} \Delta x^4 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^4} + K \\ \phi_{i-1} &= \phi_i - \Delta x \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{6} \Delta x^3 \frac{\partial^3 \phi_i}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{24} \Delta x^4 \frac{\partial^4 \phi_i}{\partial x^4} + K \\ \hline \\ \phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i-1} &= 2 \Delta x \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} \Delta x^3 \frac{\partial^3 \phi_i}{\partial x^3} + K \\ \hline \\ \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} &= \frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i-1}}{2 \Delta x} + O\left(-\frac{1}{6} \Delta x^2 \frac{\partial^3 \phi_i}{\partial x^3} + K\right) \end{split}$$

Discretisation PDE

Discretisation PDE IMP

IMPORTANT

Taylor series development (II)

$$\begin{split} \phi_{i+1} &= \phi_i + \Delta x \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{6} \Delta x^3 \frac{\partial^3 \phi_i}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{24} \Delta x^4 \frac{\partial^4 \phi_i}{\partial x^4} + \mathbf{K} \\ \phi_{i-1} &= \phi_i - \Delta x \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{6} \Delta x^3 \frac{\partial^3 \phi_i}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{24} \Delta x^4 \frac{\partial^4 \phi_i}{\partial x^4} + \mathbf{K} \\ \hline \phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i-1} &= 2\phi_i + \Delta x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{12} \Delta x^4 \frac{\partial^4 \phi_i}{\partial x^4} + \mathbf{K} \\ \hline \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\phi_{i+1} - 2\phi_i + \phi_{i-1}}{\Delta x^2} + O\left(-\frac{1}{12} \Delta x^2 \frac{\partial^4 \phi_i}{\partial x^4} + \mathbf{K}\right) \end{split}$$

ORTANT Discretisation PDE
Laplace equation in 2D

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = 0$$
Discretisation in x-direction (*i*):
$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_{i,j}}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\phi_{i+1,j} - 2\phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x^2}$$
Discretisation in y-direction (*j*):
$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_{i,j}}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\phi_{i,j+1} - 2\phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i,j-1}}{\Delta y^2}$$

$$\frac{\phi_{i+1,j} - 2\phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{\phi_{i,j+1} - 2\phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i,j-1}}{\Delta y^2} = 0$$
If $\Delta x = \Delta y$ then: $\phi_{i-1,j} + \phi_{i,j-1} - 4\phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i,j+1} + \phi_{i,j-1} = 0$

$$\phi_{i,j} = \frac{\varphi_{i+1,j} + \varphi_{i-1,j} + \varphi_{i,j+1} + \varphi_{i,j-1}}{4}$$
 'Fivepoint operator

Discretisation PDE

 $=\frac{\phi_{i+1,j}+\phi_{i-1,j}+\phi_{i,j+1}+\phi_{i,j-1}}{\phi_{i,j+1}+\phi_{i,j-1}}$ Δ

Discretisation PDE

Discretisation PDE

 $\phi_{i,j} = \frac{\phi_{i+1,j} + \phi_{i-1,j} + \phi_{i,j+1} + \phi_{i,j-1}}{2}$

 $=\frac{\phi_{i+1,j}+\phi_{i-1,j}+2\phi_{i,j+1}}{}$

4

 $\phi_{i,j} = \frac{2\phi_{i+1,j} + \phi_{i,j+1} + \phi_{i,j-1}}{2\phi_{i+1,j} + \phi_{i,j+1} + \phi_{i,j-1}}$ 4

On BOUNDARY 1:

On BOUNDARY 4:

4

Fivepoint operator: constant head example (I)



~L=12 km



Fivepoint operator: example (II)

Boundary conditions BOUNDARY 1: no-flow near the mountains BOUNDARY 2: linear from 100 m (near mountains) to 0 m (near sea) BOUNDARY 3: constant seawater level of 0 m BOUNDARY 4: no-flow

Discretisation PDE

Discretisation PDE

Fivepoint operator: No-flow example (I)

Nodes on the edges of an element



Fivepoint operator: example (III) ~L=12 km



•Initial estimate head=50m •Convergence-criterion=0.01m •Number of iterations=74



Non steady state groundwater flow equation $\ensuremath{^{\text{Discretisation PDE}}}$ $q_x = -k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ $q_y = -k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}$ $q_z = -k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$

Flow equation (Darcy's Law)

Non steady state continuity equation

 $\frac{\partial q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial q_z}{\partial z} = S_s \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + W'$

Groundwater flow equation

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{er flow equation} \\ \frac{\partial \left(-k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \left(-k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \left(-k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right)}{\partial z} = S_s \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + W' \end{array}$$

Multiply with constant thickness D of the aquifer gives:

 $T\frac{\partial^{2}\phi}{\partial x^{2}} + T\frac{\partial^{2}\phi}{\partial y^{2}} + T\frac{\partial^{2}\phi}{\partial z^{2}} = S\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + W$ *S*-elastic storage coefficient [-] *T=kD*= transmissivity [L²/T]

Explicit numerical 1D solution

One-dimensional non steady state groundwater flow equation:

$$S\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = T\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} + N$$

Explicit ('forwards in space'):

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} \approx \frac{\phi_{i+1}^t - 2\phi_i^t + \phi_{i-1}^t}{\Delta x^2} \qquad \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\phi_i^{t+\Delta t} - \phi_i^t}{\Delta t}$$

$$\phi_i^{t+\Delta t} = \phi_i^t + \frac{N\Delta t}{S} + \frac{T\Delta t}{S\Delta x^2} \left(\phi_{i+1}^t - 2\phi_i^t + \phi_{i-1}^t \right)$$

Properties:

- Direct solution Can be numerical instable

MODFLOW



 $\sum Q_i = S_s \frac{\Delta \phi}{\Delta t} \Delta V$

MODELOW



Δν



uifer bo For a constant head condition: IBOUND<0 For a no flow condition: For a variable head:

IBOUND=0 IBOUND>0

MODFLOW

MODFLOW

IMPORTANT Packages in MODFLOW

Numeric model

- 1. Well package
- 2. River package
- 3. Recharge package
- 4. Drain package
- 5. Evaporation package
- 6. General head package

MODFLOW

1. Well package

 $Q_{well} = Q_{i,j,k}$

Example: an extraction of 10 m³ per day should be inserted in an element as $Q_{ext,i,j,k} = -10$ (in = positive)



Example of a system with three types of boundary conditions

area of constant head

with t

2. River package (II)

Determine the conductance of the river in one element:



where $C_{riv} = \frac{KLW}{M}$ is the conductance [L²/T] of the river





MODFLOW

General head boundary

Flow into the element

ope

conductance between element and boundary

Flow to a general head boundary

Flow out of the element

head ¢ in element i j,k (L)

Time indication MODFLOW

ITMUNI=1: seconde ITMUNI=2: minute ITMUNI=3: hour ITMUNI=4: day ITMUNI=5: year

MOC3D



MOC3D

IMPORTANT

Method of Characteristics (MOC)

Solve the advection-dispersion equation (ADE) with the Method of Characteristics

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(D_{ij} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (CV_i) + \frac{(C-C)W}{n_e}$$

Lagrangian approach:

Splitting up the advection part and the dispersion/source part: •advection by means of a particle tracking technique

·dispersion/source by means of the finite difference method

IMPORTANT

Procedure of MOC: advective transport by particle tracking

•Place a number of particles in each element

•Determine the effective velocity of each particle by (bi)linear interpolation of the velocity field which is derived from MODFLOW

·Move particles during one solute time step Δt_{solute}

·Average values of all particles in an element to one node value

•Calculate the change in concentration in all nodes due to advective transport

·Add this result to dispersive/source changes of solute transport

Advantage of the approach of MOC?

It is difficult to solve the whole advection-dispersion equation in one step, because the so-called Peclet-number is high in most groundwater flow/solute transport problems.

(hyperbolic form of the equation is dominant)

The Peclet number stands for the ratio between advection and dispersion

Steps in MOC-procedure

1. Determine concentration gradients at old timestep k-1

- 2. Move particles to model advective transport
- 3. Concentration of particles to concentration in element node
- 4. Determine concentration gradients on new timestep k*
- 5. Determine concentration in element node after advective, dispersive/source transport on timestep k

Causes of errors in MOC-procedure

1. Concentration gradients

- 2. Average from particles to node element, and visa versa
- 3. Concentration of sources/sinks to entire element
- 4. Empty elements
- 5. No-flow boundary: reflection in boundary

Stability criteria are necessary because the ADE is

 $\frac{D_{xx}\Delta t_s}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{D_{yy}\Delta t_s}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{D_{zz}\Delta t_s}{\Delta z^2} \le 0.5$

 $\Delta t_{s} \leq \frac{0.5}{\frac{D_{xx}}{\Delta x^{2}} + \frac{D_{yy}}{\Delta y^{2}} + \frac{D_{zz}}{\Delta z^{2}}}$

MOC3D



Stability criteria (I)

1. Neumann criterion:

solved explicitly

MOC3D

MOC3D

2. Mixing criterion

Stability criteria (II)

Change in concentration in element is not allowed to larger than the difference between the present concentration in the element and the concentration in the source

$$\Delta t_s \le \frac{n_e b_{i,j,k}^k}{Q_{i,j,k}}$$

MOC3D

MOC3D

MOC3D

Reflection in boundary

MOC3D



FILES

Files in MODFLOW: infile.nam file

INFIL	E.NAM	
list	16	ext.lst
bas	95	ext.bas
bcf	11	ext.bcf
sip	19	ext.sip
wel	12	ext.wel
conc	33	ext moc.nam

Additional information (good documentation): MODFLOW: http MOC3D: ht



Files in MODFLOW: *.bcf file	MODFLOW	Files i	n MO	C3D: 1	*.mc	oc fil
		EXT.MOC				
EXT BCE		Groundwate	r extracti ISLAY2	on ISROW	1 IS	ROW2 1
		1	1		1	19
0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 ISS, IBCFBD BCF Inpu	t	1	0.0	0.	0 ; NOD	ISP, DECA
0 LAYCON		20000	9		; NPM	AX, NPTP
0 1.0 TRPY		2 2 2 2	0.05		Z) CEL	DIS, FZER
		35000 0	000,8	NOFLO	JAPR, N	PRIVL, I
0 SOLO DELK		96	1	(19F5.0)		
0 50.0 DELC		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0.0	0.0 0.0
0 0.00075 Sfl		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0.0	0.0 0.0
0 0.0023 TBAN1		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
Files in MODELOW: * wel file		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0
		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.1	0 0.0	0.0 0.0
		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0.0	0.0 0.0
EXT.WEL		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0.0	0.0 0.0
1 0 AUXILIARY CONC CBCALLOCATE MXWELL, IWELBD		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0 0.0	0.0 0.0
		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	5 0.0	0.0 0.0
		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0
1 10 10 -0.004 2.5E3; kijqc		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0 0 0	0 0 0 0
-1		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0 0.0	0.0 0.0
1 TIMP (NWELLS)		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0.0	0.0 0.0
		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0.0	0.0 0.0
1 8 / -0.004 2.5E3 ; k1 j q c		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.	0.0	0.0 0.0
		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	5 0.0	0.0 0.0
Files in MODELOW: * sin file			1.0			
Thes in Mobil Low is the		ć	50.0			
		c	0.25			
EXT.SIP		end				
500 5 ; MXITER, NPARM SIP Input		-	35000.0			
1. 0.0001 0 0.001 0 ACCL, HCLOSE, TPCALC, WSEED, TPRS1	P		0.001			
	-		0.0001			

MODFLOW

MODFLOW

Files in MOC3D: *.moc file

MODFLOW

MODFLOW



Files in MOC3D: *_moc.nam and *.obs files MODFLOW

EXT_MO	C.NAM	
clst	94	ext.out
moc	96	ext.moc
obs	44	ext.obs
data	45	ext.oba
EXT.OBS	5	
3 1	-	;NUMOBS IOBSFL Observation well data
1 10 10	0 45	;layer, row, column, unit number
1 8 10	D	;layer, row, column
1 4 10	D	;laver, row, column

NUMERICAL DISPERSION

Numerical dispersion and oscillation



Derivation of numerical dispersion: 1D (I)

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - V \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Discretisation:} \\ \text{backwards in space} \\ \text{backwards in time} \end{array}$$

By means of Taylor series development:

$$\frac{C_i^k - C_i^{k-1}}{\Delta t} = D \frac{C_{i+1}^k - 2C_i^k + C_{i-1}^k}{\Delta x^2} - V \frac{C_i^k - C_{i-1}^k}{\Delta x}$$

Derivation of numerical dispersion: 1D (II)

Now Taylor series development with truncation erros!:

$$\frac{C_i^k - C_i^{k-1}}{\Delta t} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 C}{\partial t^3} + O(\Delta t^3)$$
$$\frac{C_i^k - C_{i-1}^k}{\Delta x} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - \frac{\Delta x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Delta x^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 C}{\partial x^3} + O(\Delta x^3)$$
$$\frac{C_{i+1}^k - 2C_i^k + C_{i-1}^k}{\Delta x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Delta x^2}{12} \frac{\partial^4 C}{\partial x^4} + O(\Delta x^4)$$

Derivation of numerical dispersion: 1D (III)

$$\frac{C_i^k - C_i^{k-1}}{\Delta t} = D \frac{C_{i+1}^k - 2C_i^k + C_{i-1}^k}{\Delta x^2} - V \frac{C_i^k - C_{i-1}^k}{\Delta x}$$
$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} = D \left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Delta x^2}{12} \frac{\partial^4 C}{\partial x^4} \right) - V \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - \frac{\Delta x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Delta x^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 C}{\partial x^3} \right)$$

Neglect 3rd and 4th order terms:

∂C	$\Delta t \ \partial^2 C$	$-D(\partial^2 C)$	$ _{V} \partial C$	$\Delta x \partial^2 C$
∂t	$2 \partial t^2$	$-D\left(\frac{\partial x^2}{\partial x^2}\right)$	$\int \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}$	$\left[\frac{1}{2} \partial x^2 \right]$

Derivation of numerical dispersion: 1D (IV)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Rewriting term:} & \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - V \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) = D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \right) - V \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \right) \\ \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} = D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - V \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) - V \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - V \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) \\ \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} = D^2 \frac{\partial^4 C}{\partial x^4} - V D \frac{\partial^3 C}{\partial x^3} - V D \frac{\partial^3 C}{\partial x^3} + V^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} \approx V^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \end{array}$$

Derivation of numerical dispersion: 1D (V)

Rewrite all extra terms:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} = D\left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}\right) - V\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - \frac{\Delta x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} \approx V^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} V^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - V \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + V \frac{\Delta x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \left(D + V \frac{\Delta x}{2} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} V^2\right) \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - V \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}$$

IMPORTANT

Derivation of numerical dispersion: 1D (VI)

Numerical dispersion:

$$D = D_{real} + V\frac{\Delta x}{2} + \frac{\Delta t}{2}V^2$$

Remedy to reduce numerical dispersion:

1. Δx en Δt smaller

- 2. Use a different numeric scheme (Crank-Nicolson)
- 3. Use a smaller D_{real}

EVERYTHING YOU ALWAYS WANTED TO KNOW

Modelling for Chemists

- 1. Everything you always wanted to know about modelling, and now you know it?
- 2. Everything you always wanted to know about modelling, and now you are definitely afraid to ask?

Additional information: Groundwater modelling: ftp://ftp.geo.uu.nl/pub/people/goe/gwmi/gwm1.pdf Density dependent groundwater flow: ftp://ftp.geo.uu.nl/pub/people/goe/gwm2/

Gualbert Oude Essink Faculty of Earth, Free University (VU) Netherlands Institute of Applied Geosciences (NITG)



SWIM17: 6-10 May 2002